**5 Things Clinicians and Consumers Should Question**

**Developed by the Australasian Society of Clinical Immunology and Allergy**

1. **Don’t use antihistamines to treat anaphylaxis** - prompt administration of adrenaline (epinephrine) is the only treatment for anaphylaxis.

   For emergency treatment of a severe allergic reaction (anaphylaxis) it is important to promptly administer adrenaline (epinephrine) by intramuscular injection using an adrenaline autoinjector if available, or by using adrenaline ampoules and syringe (the latter is only suitable in a medical setting). There is a high risk of potential harm (disability or death) from anaphylaxis if it is not treated promptly with adrenaline.

   There are also cost implications from delayed or inappropriate treatment of anaphylaxis, such as additional ambulance, emergency department and hospital costs, as well as additional anxiety for patients and their families or carers.

   Antihistamines are recommended for treatment of mild and moderate allergic reactions, including allergic rhinitis (hay fever), but have no role in treating or preventing respiratory and cardiovascular symptoms of anaphylaxis.

   In particular, oral sedating antihistamines should never be used in patients with anaphylaxis as side effects (drowsiness or lethargy) may mimic some signs of anaphylaxis. Injectable promethazine should not be used in anaphylaxis as it can worsen hypotension and cause muscle necrosis.

   For further information go to www.allergy.org.au/anaphylaxis

2. **Alternative/unorthodox methods should not be used for allergy testing or treatment**

   Whilst there is currently no cure for allergy, reliable tests and a range of treatments for allergy are available, which are backed up by scientific studies that demonstrate proven safety and efficacy.

   In contrast, numerous studies have demonstrated the uselessness of several alternative/unorthodox methods that claim to test or treat allergy. These methods continue to be promoted in the community and some even make false claims that they can cure allergy. There is also currently no stringent regulation of alternative/unorthodox diagnostic techniques and devices, so they can be “listed” in Australia without having to prove that they work.

   There is a risk of potential harm if individuals with allergies are incorrectly diagnosed and inappropriately treated using alternative/unorthodox methods, particularly if they have severe allergies.
Allergen immunotherapy should not be used for routine treatment of food allergy – research in this area is ongoing

Research into allergen immunotherapy for food allergy is ongoing and until further work determining safety and efficacy is determined, it should not be performed outside of well defined medical research studies, as there is a high risk of potential harm in individuals with severe food allergy.

Allergen immunotherapy is currently only recommended for treatment of allergic rhinitis (hay fever) and sometimes allergic asthma, due to environmental allergens (such as pollen or dust mites) and for the treatment of stinging insect allergy. Allergen immunotherapy should be considered in appropriate patients when symptoms are severe, the cause is difficult to avoid (such as grass pollen or stinging insects) and medications don’t help or cause adverse side effects.

For further information go to www.allergy.org.au/patients/allergy-treatment

Food specific IgE testing should not be performed without a clinical history suggestive of IgE-mediated food allergy

Reliable and proven diagnostic tests for food allergy include skin prick testing, blood tests for food specific IgE antibodies and medically supervised food allergen challenges. Allergy test results should never be used on their own, and must be considered together with the patient’s clinical history. In the absence of a history of clinical symptoms, low levels of allergen-specific IgE are usually of little diagnostic significance.

Allergy testing of individuals where there is no evidence that food allergy plays a role in their clinical symptoms increases the likelihood of irrelevant false positive results. This may lead to potential harm due to inappropriate and unnecessary dietary restrictions, with nutritional implications for the individual (particularly in children) and unnecessary fear and anxiety (particularly for the family or carers).

For further information go to www.allergy.org.au/patients/food-allergy
Don’t delay introduction of solid foods to infants - ASCIA Guidelines for Infant Feeding and allergy prevention recommend introduction of solid foods to infants, around 6 months of age.

This recommendation is consistent with ASCIA Guidelines for infant feeding and allergy prevention (2016), which recommend introduction of solid foods to infants, at around 6 months of age, but not before 4 months (including foods considered to be highly allergenic such as peanut) preferably whilst breast feeding.

It is important to seek medical advice if an allergic reaction occurs and also regarding the safe introduction of foods if an infant has a sibling or parent with food allergy.

This recommendation is also consistent with findings from recent studies, including the LEAP (Learning Early About Peanut Allergy) trials published in the New England Journal of Medicine (NEJM) in 2015 and 2016. The LEAP trials concluded that the early introduction of peanuts significantly decreased (by 80%) the frequency of the development of peanut allergy among children at high risk for this allergy and modulated immune responses to peanuts.

For further information go to www.allergy.org.au/patients/allergy-prevention
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To find out more or become involved:
Visit www.choosingwisely.org.au or follow twitter.com/ChooseWiselyAU or email choosingwisely@nps.org.au
HOW THIS LIST WAS MADE

The RACP Strategic Policy and Advocacy group assisted ASCIA in compiling the original list of 25 tests, treatments and services, that have been identified either in past work by ASCIA, other literature reviews or in evidence reviews performed by overseas specialist physician bodies or health agencies as being overused, inappropriate or of limited effectiveness.

Two electronic surveys were sent to ASCIA members who are Fellows of the RACP (256 members in total) in February 2015 and March 2015, to firstly rank a top 5 from the list of 25, and secondly to review the wording and rankings of the top 5 recommendations. The overall response rate for these surveys was 20%.

All ASCIA members and relevant patient organisations were invited to review the list for a 2 week review period.
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